

Chapter 17. Redundancy analysis (RDA) and canonical correspondence analysis (CCA)

1. Both PCA and CA apply to one data table. PCA mainly treats site×environmental data table, while CA treats site×species table. Different from PCA and CA, RDA and CCA are used to analyze two data tables.
2. Suppose there are two data tables: \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . In a typical ecological study, \mathbf{X} represents environmental data and \mathbf{Y} represents species data. In general, however, \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} can represent any type of data. For example, a classic statistic example is the study of bone and skull measurements of White Leghorn fowl (Dunn, L.C. 1928. The effect of inbreeding on the bones of the fowl. Storrs Agricultural Experimental Station Bulletin 52:1-112).

$$\text{Head } (\mathbf{X}) : \begin{cases} X_1 = \text{Skull length} \\ X_2 = \text{skull breadth} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Leg } (\mathbf{Y}) : \begin{cases} Y_1 = \text{femur length} \\ Y_2 = \text{tibia length} \end{cases}$$

3. RDA, or called Canonical Correlation Analysis (another CCA!) in statistics, seeks to identify and quantify the association between these two sets (head and leg) of variables. The basic steps of RDA include:
 - (1) PCA – Find a linear combination (a principal axis) of the variables in the first data set (X_1, X_2, \dots) and a linear combination (a principal axis) of the variables in the second data set (Y_1, Y_2, \dots). This step is equivalent to PCA.
 - (2) Correlation – The first principal axis pair of these two data sets must have the largest correlation.
 - (3) The second principal pair must have the second largest correlation and this pair must uncorrelated with any other principal pairs.

(4) The pairs of the linear combinations are called the **canonical variables**, and their correlations are called **canonical correlations**.

4. In matrix notation, we have

$U = \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{X}$ # principal axis: linear combination of (X_1, X_2, \dots)

$V = \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{Y}$ # principal axis: linear combination of (Y_1, Y_2, \dots)

$$\text{where } \mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \dots \\ a_p \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \dots \\ X_p \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \dots \\ b_q \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \dots \\ Y_q \end{bmatrix}$$

What RDA seeks is the coefficient vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} (PCA loadings) that make the following correlation as large as possible:

$$\text{Cor}(U, V) = \frac{\mathbf{a}'\Sigma_{12}\mathbf{b}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{a}'\Sigma_{11}\mathbf{a}}\sqrt{\mathbf{b}'\Sigma_{22}\mathbf{b}}}$$

Further condition is that canonical pairs must be uncorrelated with each other, i.e., U_1, U_2, \dots, U_p must be uncorrelated. Similarly, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_q must be uncorrelated with each other.

5. R implementation using **vegan**: `> rda`

6. CCA (Canonical correspondence analysis) in principle also seeks for the maximum correlation between respective principal axes of two data sets. Similar to CA, CCA is also based on χ^2 distance.

7. R implementation using **vegan**: `> cca`.

8. It is useful to point out that **rda** and **cca** in **vegan** allows a third data table for conditioning. This can be useful if there is a need to control or remove the effect of the third data table.