

## Feature and Variable Selection

Context for Representation Learning in RL

**Patrick M. Pilarski** — RLAI & AICML, University of Alberta 5th Barbados Workshop on Reinforcement Learning, April 6<sup>th</sup> 2010

## Feature Selection in Brief

- House painting analogy...
- The Basic Idea:
  - Many possible inputs for use in learning, control, and knowledge discovery.
  - How to decide which inputs contain valuable information?
  - How to choose which inputs should be used for a given task (and how)?



## Feature Selection Applications

\* Gene array data processing and drug discovery (Guyon et al. 2003)

- Thousands of genes, limited labeled patient samples... need to determine how gene expression corresponds to disease, or produces proteins that can be targeted with new drugs.
- \* **Text classification** from a bag-of-words with over 10k variables.
- \* Biomedical image analysis (Pilarski et al., Proc. SPIE, 2009)



# Relationship to RL

- Many inputs, e.g. sensors, each with unknown value to learning and system operation.
- The features used to describe *state* can have a dramatic impact on performance (as will be discussed in the next talk.)
- Advantageous to have ways for a learner to automatically evaluate and compare features and combinations of features.



## Outline

- Part 1: Common terminology, definitions, and examples from the feature selection literature.
  - Variables and Features
  - \* Relevance, Redundancy, and Correlation
  - \* Subset v.s. Ranking methods, Filters and Wrappers
  - \* Feature Construction
- \* **Part 2:** Feature selection on the RLAI Critterbot.
- \* Part 3: Conclusions and a summary of core concepts.



#### Part 1

**Common Terminology and Definitions in Feature Selection** 

## The Nature of "The Input"

- \* Variable: a raw input signal.
  - \* *x*1, *x*2, *x*3, ...
  - \* *e.g.* raw 8-bit sensor signals, line voltage or current measurements
- \* Feature: a processed version a variable (or combination of variables).
  - \* f(x1), f(x1,x2,...)
  - \* *e.g.* norm(x1), avg(x1), log(x1+x3), max[FFT(x1) | w>1e6]

Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.

- \* Can redundant variables be used to improve performance?
  - Yes: noise reduction and improved class separation can be achieved by combining two presumably redundant variables.

Figures from *Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.* 



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.

- \* What are the relationships between correlation and redundancy?
  - Perfectly correlated variables are truly redundant in that no extra information is gained by having them.
  - However, very high correlation (or anti-correlation) does not mean that variables are not complementary.

Figures from *Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.* 



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.

- \* Can variables that are individually useless be useful when combined together?
  - \* *Yes:* a variable that is by itself useless can improve performance when combined with other useful variables.
  - Yes: variables that are individually useless can be useful when combined together.



Figures from *Guyon and Elisseeff*, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003. 10



Figures from *Guyon and Elisseeff*, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003. 10



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003. 10



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003. 10



Figures from Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003. 10

## What is "Relevance"?

#### \* Relevant to what?

- \* *Is it relevant to a target concept, sample or distribution*—can it help make distinctions? Do samples differ only in terms of a single feature and their label (or do when features are removed)?
- \* *Is it relevant to specific algorithms*—*e.g.* "usefulness" to a constructor.
- \* Relevance in terms of *saliency*, *entropy*, *density*, *smoothness*, *reliability*.
- This is a *problem of focus* selection of relevant features to represent data, and selection of relevant examples to drive the learning process. (*n.b. using irrelevant attributes means more training examples are needed*!)

Blum and Langley, Artificial Intelligence 97, 1997; Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.<sup>11</sup>

# A Basis in Regression and Weights

Calculate coefficient for variable '*i*' using an **estimate of correlation**:

$$R(i) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} (x_{k,i} - \bar{x}_i)(y_k - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m} (x_{k,i} - \bar{x}_i)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m} (y_k - \bar{y})^2}}$$

**Information theory approach:** use mutual information between the variables '*i*' and the target (very difficult for cases without nominal targets, however, since it is hard to estimate densities):

$$I(i) = \int_{x_i} \int_{y} p(x_i, y) \log \frac{p(x_i, y)}{p(x_i) p(y)} dx dy$$

Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003. 12

# A Basis in Regression and Weights

\* Calculate coefficient for variable '*i*' using an **estimate of correlation**:

$$R(i) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} (x_{k,i} - \bar{x}_i)(y_k - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m} (x_{k,i} - \bar{x}_i)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m} (y_k - \bar{y})^2}}$$

Information theory approach: use mutual information between the variables 'i' and the target (very difficult for cases without nominal targets, however, since it is hard to estimate densities):

$$I(i) = \sum_{x_i} \sum_{y} P(X = x_i, Y = y) \log \frac{P(X = x_i, Y = y)}{P(X = x_i)P(Y = y)}$$

*Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.* 12

# Ranking Methods

- Summary: Evaluate the merit of individual features in isolation. Rank order features based on individual predictive power.
- \* **Upside:** can be fast, simple, scalable, good empirical success; great for knowledge discovery setting, *e.g.* finding genes that indicate disease.
- Downside: variables in isolation can give poor class separation, may miss crucial relationships between individually useless variables.
   Promotes selection of redundant features.
- \* Examples: Relief-F, InfoGain, ...

Witten and Frank, Data Mining (Morgan Kaufmann, 2005). Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.

## Subset Methods

- Summary: Evaluate the combinations of features to find subsets that together have good predictive power.
- Upside: can identify complex relationships; removes truly redundant variables; helps find a minimal set that still gives good prediction.
- Downside: many methods are computationally complex; unclear how to search the subset space—exhaustive search only possible for small # of variables; unclear how to best guide/halt the search process.
- Examples: Correlation-based Feature Selection (CFS), Consistency, WrapperANN, ...

Witten and Frank, Data Mining (Morgan Kaufmann, 2005). Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.

## Wrappers and Filters

- Wrapper: a subset selection technique that has a specific "black box" machine learning component which is used to identify the performance of a given subset, usually with cross-validation.
- Filter: subsets are selected via a preprocessing routine independent of a predictor. Arguably faster than wrappers & may reduce overfitting.
- \* **Embedded:** incorporate variable selection as part of a classifier's training process, *e.g.* decision trees like CART. Search guided by estimating changes in objective function, or direct obj. optimization.
- \* Can build subsets with *forward selection* or *backward elimination*.

Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003. 15

#### Feature Construction

- Goals include achieving the best reconstruction of the data, or being the most efficient in making predictions.
- Both supervised and unsupervised methods for constructing features.
  (See 2003 JMLR special issue for a focus on feature construction.)
- Tied to ideas of compression and dimensionality reduction, and many algorithms are shared across these fields.
- Examples: clustering; basic linear transforms like PCA/SVD; more complex linear transforms like FFT; simple functions applied to subsets of variables; matrix factorization.

*Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, Vol. 3, 2003.* 16



#### Part 2

Feature Selection on the RLAI Critterbot

# Experimental Setup: Critterbot

- Critterbot is a mobile robotic platform with 40+ sensors and three drive wheels. <u>http://</u> <u>critterbot.rl-community.org</u>
- Rich sensory data was gathered during a day-long run where Critterbot performed random *options* (macro-actions) / attempted to return to charging station when power became low.



## **Experimental Setup**

- \* Used day-long Critterbot log file (see talk by Thomas Degris).
- Paranoid Agent. Data files were appended with a negative reward signal corresponding to the three forward distance sensors (IR0,IR1,IR6) and a positive reward signal tied to the magnitude of the tail distance sensor (IR8). Cumulative reward was made discrete.
- For this preliminary study, the log was divided into 35 slices; 100,000 steps per slice.
- Each slice was processed using the CFS Subset Feature Selection Algorithm.



# Correlation-based Feature Selection (CFS)

\* **Big Picture:** balances predictive value with redundancy, favouring high correlation within class and low intercorrelation (*Hall 2000*).

$$Merit_s = rac{k\overline{r_{cf}}}{\sqrt{k+k(k-1)\overline{r_{ff}}}}$$

\* *s* : subset.

*k* : number of features.

 $r_{cf}$ : average feature-class correlation.

*r*<sub>ff</sub> : average feature-feature intercorrelation.

Hall, ICML 17, pp. 359-366, 2000. 20











0

Option Light

Index 0

Light

1

Light

2

FEATURE USAGE - Fraction of Slices

IR

IR

Light

3

IR

Light0 Light1 Light2 Light4 Light6 Light7 X

IR

IR

Accel Accel

Y

IR



Rot.

Vel

Mag

х

Mag

Y

Mag

1

2

3

Ζ

Therm, Therm, Therm, Therm, Motor0 Motor1 Motor2 Motor0 Motor1 Motor2

Speed Speed Speed Temp. Temp. 1

4

5

Accel

z

Power

Voltage Source

Bus

Bat.







A large number of features does not guarantee good performance.



A large number of features does not guarantee good performance.



## Conclusions

Summary of Concepts and Key Messages to Leave With

# Summary of Concepts

- \* Variables v.s. features
- \* The need to define *relevance* and *usefulness*.
- \* Interplay between *redundancy* and *correlation*.
- \* *Ranking methods* v.s. *subset methods*.
- \* Wrappers, filters, and embedded methods.
- \* Feature construction.

# Key Messages to Leave With

- \* Feature selection is a key idea in AI and statistics.
- Feature selection is important for representation learning in RL, as it provides a way to evaluate and compare the worth of features.
- Feature selection alone is not a solution to RL representation learning — *e.g.* need for nominal targets, not incremental, requires stored data.
   — how to automatically construct new features from variables?
- Feature selection literature presents a foundation, context, and intuition to help develop automatic, incremental, life-long representation learning, but is only part of the picture.

# One Final Thought

#### "The art of machine learning starts with the design of appropriate data representations."

(Guyon and Elisseeff, JMLR, 2003)

Thanks due to the **RLAI representation learning meeting group** and **Critterbot meeting group** for insight and suggestions, and specifically **Thomas Degris** for the extended Critterbot log data. Critterbot photos by **M. Sokolsky**.