

Do receptors in the skin contribute to the perception of movement in the hand?

Jessica A Leverett, Isaac O Porozni, Lucas B Bronder, Juan Forero, David F Collins

Human Neurophysiology Laboratory, Faculty of Kinesiology, Sport, & Recreation, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada



BACKGROUND

Receptors in muscles, joints, and skin discharge when humans move and these signals can contribute to our conscious perception of movement, or "kinesthesia".

Muscle spindles are thought to be the most important receptors 3. In this study we expore whether cutaneous receptors contribute to kinesthesia in the hand. for kinesthesia, however, receptors in the skin may be equally important1 but are less well-studied. In part, this may be due to a lack of effective methods to explore their role.

> A 1994 abstract2 reported that electrical stimulation (ES) of the skin of the hand could be used to mimic the discharge of cutaneous receptors and produce illusions of movement but peer-reviewed results were never published.

This project focused on altering the frequency of the electrical stimulation to determine whether increasing the speed of the pulses would increase the illusion of movement.

HYPOTHESES

- 1) Electrical stimulation of receptors in the skin will cause participants to perceive movement (when the hand is not moving),
- 2) Participants will perceive that the fingers are flexing when the stimulation is on,
- 3) Higher stimulation frequencies will produce stronger illusions of movement.

METHODS



Participants sat with hands relaxed over the edge of a stable surface and eyes closed.

ES was applied over the superficial nerve to the right, "test hand" to stimulate axons from cutaneous receptors to mimic their discharge during movement.


Participants were asked how the stimulation felt, recreating protocols from other research3. If participants perceived movement, they were instructed to mimic it with their left, "matching"


ES was delivered at an intensity so single pulses felt like tapping on the back of the hand, second and third fingers

To mimic receptor discharge during movement, ES was delivered in "trains", with frequency increasing for ~3 s then decreasing for 3 s



The "test hand"





In one trial 10 trains were delivered with ~10 s between. In separate trails maximum pulse frequency was 50, 75 or 100 Hz (pulses/s) based on discharge rates of these receptors as noted in previous research2. In most trials, the stimulation was delivered for 6 seconds

METHODS


The "matching hand" When the electrical stimulation was on, participants mimicked the movement perceived in their right hand.




Motion capture markers were placed over the left hand to track the movements perceived by the participant, which allowed tracking markers located on the left hand to record the amount of movement occurring.

Data collection and analyses: 10 trials of each frequency were recorded, and the average of these trails was used to estimate the amount of movement perceived at each finger. Collected data was analyzed to determine the net movement of each finger respective to the hand itself. In the figures, 0 represents resting position, with positive numbers indicating flexion and negative indicating extension.

RESULTS



RESULTS



CONCLUSIONS

ES produced illusions of movements of the hand in all 3 participants tested thus far, supporting the idea that receptors in the skin contribute to kinesthesia of the hand, consistent with our first hypothesis.

Perceived movements, however, were not always in the predicted direction (flexion), contrary to our second hypothesis although illusory movement amplitude was larger as ES frequency increased, supporting our third hypothesis.

These results suggest that this approach may prove to be an effective way to explore the role for cutaneous receptors in the perception of movements of the hand.

REFERENCES

input from the dorsum of the human hand. Journal of Physiology, 496(3), 857-871. https://doi.org/10.1113.jphysiol.1996.sp021733

² Gandeva SC. (1994). Kinaesthetic illusions involving the hand which are not dependent on muscle afferents. Proceedings of the Australian Physiological and Pharmacological Society, 25(1), [Unpublished Abstract],

3 Rangwani R & Park H. (2021). A new approach of inducing proprioceptive illusion by transcutaneous electrical stimulation. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation 18(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-021-00870-y



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Alejandro Ley, without his effort and expertise, we would never get anything done