Expressions of Quotativity and Reportivity

German Reported Speech and Reportive Evidentiality

Jeroen Vanderbiesen University of Antwerp, Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO)



Overview

- A. Theoretical Background
 - A.1. Reportive Evidentiality vs. Reported Speech
 - A.2. Reportivity and Quotativity

• B. Data Analysis: sollen ('shall')

C. Final Thoughts



A. Theoretical Background

A.1. Reportive Evidentiality vs. Reported Speech



• (Reportive) Evidentiality

- "Evidentiality proper is understood as stating the existence of a source of evidence for some information; this includes stating that there is some evidence, and also specifying what type of evidence there is" (Aikhenvald 2003: 1)
- For REvi: "indicating that the current speaker has not witnessed the event him/herself but has merely heard about it from other sources" (Chojnicka 2012: 173)

Reported Speech

- 173: "brings together tools and devices used for attributing knowledge to another speaker[,] usually divided into direct and indirect speech, which differs in the degree to which the original speaker's perspective – or voice – is preserved"



Commonalities

- 1. Both involve reference/referral
 - Information in a certain (syntactic, prosodic,...) form (or register) with a certain (semantic) content, occurring with a certain purpose in a certain situation (place, time), stemming from one or more sources (persons) in a certain interaction
 - Referenced by another person (typically the current speaker) with a certain purpose in another (similar or different syntactic, prosodic,...) form with roughly the same (semantic) content in another situation and interaction



- 2. Both crucially invoke 'source'
 - A Referred SP/W or Information Source
 - The (supposed) origin of the information that is rendered in the/ as the proposition
 - Variety of ways of marking (see infra)
 - A Referring SP/W
 - Renders information in the proposition while (overtly) referring back to its origin (thus signalling his own non-authorship)
 - Often not marked overtly
- => Clearly related, yet also clearly different



Differences

- 1. Different kinds of reference/referral
 - Reported Speech
 - References a <u>SOURCE</u>/ SP/W (and the info it provided)
 - Renders utterances of others
 - Jakobson (1971: 130), Chojnicka (2012: 173, 175): knowledge attribution to another speaker, Güldemann (2008: 407): "In normal R[eported] D[iscourse] the quote [...] represents non-immediate discourse; [a] minor part of a larger text that constitutes the immediate discourse", "the quote [...] is a fairly well-delineated intrusion into the main text"
 - Marking in German
 - Two propositions (speech act + content of speech act)
 - Pres Subj, Ind (+ source indication) ,
 quotation marks, verbs of saying, INF, ...)



- Reportive Evidentiality
 - References some type of information
 - Indicates sources for one's own utterances, focus on SELF
 - Güldemann (2008: 407): "With a hearsay evidential [...] the text in the scope of the evidential [...] belongs to the main body of the ongoing immediate discourse", "the quote [...] "expands" to actually become the main text"
 - Chojnicka (2012: 175): speaker claim is central, evidence is "background information"
 - Marking in German
 - One proposition (content of speech act)
 - sollen 'shall' (wollen 'will'), potentially things like es heißt 'it is said'



- 2. Different source relevance (and type/marking, see infra)
 - Reported Speech
 - Focus on the Referred SP/W
 - The Referring SP/W enters into his own discourse a swath of text that is perspectivised from the Referred SP/W
 - Reportive Evidentiality
 - Focus on the Referring SP/W
 - The Referring SP/W makes a swath of text that does not stem from him to part of his own discourse, rendered from his own perspective





- RS and REvi invoke the same (facets of the same) domain, but differently
- Can be related as poles on a continuum within that domain
- Diewald & Smirnova (2010):
 - RS = Quotatives (non-deictic)
 - Speaker role shifts to a 2nd speaker who is quoted
 - One comm. sit. embedded in another (2 mental spaces)
 - Current "I-Here-Now" ≠ vantage point
 - REvi = Reportives (deictic)
 - Speaker role remains with 1st speaker
 - Source marked in current comm. sit. (1 mental space)
 - Current "I-Here-Now" = vantage point
 Universiteit Antwerpen

 However, a continuum implies "intermediate constructions", gradual transitions from pole to pole

- Hence: Quotativity and Reportivity
 - A degree of the measure to which a construction is quotative or reportive in nature
 - Check lists can be made of the traits the most prototypical (or rather basic) representative of each category has
 - Intermediate constructions will share traits of both categories



Quotatives = Quotes (Prototypically:)

Meir Lau [...] **sagte** vor kurzem im israelischen Radio den Satz**: "**[...] **Ich** habe diese Erfahrung in **meiner** Kindheit nicht gemacht" (DS/37.12/32) 'Meir Lau recently spoke the following sentence on Israeli radio: "I did not have this experience in my childhood" '

- "channelling" or "mediating" another perspective; communicative distancing (Confais 1989: deactualisation)
- "Accuracy Requirement"
- RefInfo= finite clause, grounded in surrogate ground
- Clear delineation of what is (not) referred (cf. two propositions)
- Clear overt indication of def, sg, human source
- Description of source, speech act/situation, register, interaction, content, form
- Non-deictic marking (speech verbs, quotation marks...)



Reportives = Reports (Prototypically:)

Rund die Hälfte der Gefangenen stammt aus Jemen; auch der Verstrobene **soll** Jemenit **gewesen sein** (FAZ/12-09/6)

'Around half of the inmates comes from Yemen; the deceased is also said to have been a Yemenite'

- Information from own perspective communicative imposing (still indicating non-authorship)
- No "Accuracy Requirement"
- Referred info ("essential content", cf. Langacker 1987b: 253) = non-finite
- Referred info is part of one proposition, grounded in the actual ground
- Source "restrictionless", often not marked overtly and/or unknown
- Characterisation of content, vague reference to third-party source
- Deictic marking ((mood), modal verbs)



- "Traditional" continua: type approach
 - E.g. Vliegen (2010): between types of RS
 - DR > DRp > IRp > nIR > DASS > INF
 - Mortelmans & Vanderbiesen (2011): between moods/modals
 - *sollen > wollen >* present subjunctive
- Refinement: token approach
 - E.g. One DR token may have more reportive traits than another
 - Illustrated with sollen



B. Data Analysis: sollen 'shall'



- Manually composed German newspaper corpus
 - Tabloid: Bild
 - Broadsheet: *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, der Spiegel*
 - Regional: Münchner Merkur, Frankfurter Neue Presse
- One edition each (12/09/2012)
 - Scanned for RS (and limiting cases), relevant sollenand wollen-constructions (and ambiguous cases)
- So far: 97 tokens for sollen



- Sollen: very reportive
 - Mitarbeiter von führenden deutschen Stahlherstellern **sollen sich** [...] auf Firmenkosten im Bordell **vergnügt haben**. (B/12-09/6)

 'Coworkers of leading German steel producers are said to have enjoyed themselves in brothels on the company's dime'
 - Der Militärische Abschirmdienst der Bundeswehr (MAD) **sol**l [...] **versucht haben** [...] Uwe Mundlos anzuwerben. <u>Das wurde gestern beim</u> <u>Untersuchungsausschuss im Bundestag bekannt</u>. (B/12-09/2) 'The Military Counterintelligence Service is said to have attempted to hire Uwe Mundlos. <u>That came out yesterday in the committee of inquiry in parliament</u>'
- Tokens may appear with quotative elements



Overt source indication

- <u>Nach ersten Erkenntnissen der Polizei</u> **soll** der Fahrer in letzter Sekunde **versucht haben**, eine Autobahnausfahrt zu nehmen. (FAZ/12-09/9)

 <u>'According to preliminary observations by police</u> the driver is said to have attempted to take an exit at the last second'
- <u>Laut Augenzeugen</u> sollen die Schüler kurz zuvor an der Laterne darüber gescherzt haben, dass bei Berührung Funken aus ihr sprühten. (B/12-09/3)

'<u>According to eyewitnesses</u>, the students are said to immediately beforehand have made light of the fact that upon touching it the lighting pole was spraying sparks'



Co-occurrence with quotations

- Seine Behörde **soll sich** nach Angaben Edathys sogar zu der Bemerkung **hinreißen haben lassen**, es handele sich "nur um einen Mordfall", dies sei nicht "wichtig genug, dass [die] Polizei helfen muss". (FAZ/12-09/4) 'According to indications by Edathy his public authority is said to have even been coaxed into making the remark that they were dealing "with only a murder case", it was not "important enough that the police should help"
- Am häufigsten **sollen** Ärzte bei Operationen <u>"geschludert und gepfuscht"</u> haben. (MM/12-09/17)

 'Most frequently, doctors are said to have <u>"blundered and bungled"</u> during operations'



Occurrence in/support from RS-constructions

- "Das Tafelsilber wird verscherbelt", soll Dehm unter anderem gesagt
 haben was er bestreitet. (FNP/12-09/11)
 - ' "The silverware is being broken", Dehm alledgedly said, amongst other things which he contests'
- Laut "Bild"-Zeitung soll Amann auch zwei weiteren Führungskräften mitgeteilt haben, dass er nicht weiter mit ihnen zusammenarbeiten wolle. (BMP/12-09/10)
 - 'According to "Bild"-Zeitung, Amann is said to have told two other executives that he did not want to continue working with them'





RS and REvi on a continuum

- Proto RS is quotative: info is ascribed to a specific source and presented from its perspective
- Proto REvi is reportive: info is marked as being non-original (reported) to the current SP/W, as having another source
- In actual practice, constructions often do a bit of both

Amazing flexibility

- Variatio delectat
- Clear boundary between reportives and quotatives as types, but fuzzy between their tokens: reportivity and quotativity
- Not "anything goes" (e.g. no reportive DR or quotative sollen (!)), but definite tendencies and overlaps, which is desirable from a cognitive linguistic perspective



Continuum goes both ways

- Quotatives may grammaticalise into reportives, but not vice versa
- But we see reportives may take up quotative functions and traits (and vice versa)

Function is crucial

- Traditional research defines types of RS on syntactic (i.e. formal) grounds
- Token approach looks at function first: not the type of marker defines the classification as RS or REvi / reportive or quotative, but the function of the token in context
- This involves looking not only at content, but also at construal
- Source is a critical ingredient



- Further Research
 - Reported Speech constructions (more compelling data)
 - Wollen (hybrid form)
 - Theoretical implications of continuum ("reportive mood" in German)
 - Relation to epistemic and other non-evidential uses
 - Detailed study of source-marking (main clause vs. adverbial phrase,...)



It is said that these talks have to leave time for questions...

So have at it!

jeroen.vanderbiesen@ua.ac.be

