


C6: Applications of magnetic exploration methods


C6.1 Detection of caves and tunnels

- The air in a cave has susceptibility, $k = 0$. If the host rock has a non-zero magnetic susceptibility, then a magnetic anomaly will be observed at the surface.
- What will be the sign and shape of the anomaly over a cylindrical lava tube in a basalt lava flow?
- Ground-based magnetic surveys have been used in Hawaii to locate **lava tubes** prior to developing agriculture or construction.



- **Tunnels** can be detected through their negative susceptibility contrast, as described above (case study at Teotihuacan in Mexico described by Arzate *et al*, 1990)


C6.2 Archaeology




- Buried metal objects can be detected through induced or remnant magnetization
- Disturbing the soil can produce a small change in the magnetic susceptibility. This can permit the detection of foundations, graves or ditches (Clark, 1986)

C6.3 Environmental geophysics

- Locating buried metal objects in landfills (55 gallon oil drums, pipes etc)




- Locating disused well casings.
- Why does the magnitude and shape of the magnetic anomaly change with aircraft elevation?




- Mapping landfill boundaries (Roberts *et al*, 1990).
- **Upward continuation** is a mathematical technique that computes the magnetic field at a higher elevation than that at which the data were collected.
- Why is the upward continued data smoother than the ground level data?

C6.4 Mineral exploration

- Iron ore bodies can have a high magnetic susceptibility and may exhibit both induced and remnant magnetization. These anomalies can easily be detected at aircraft elevations and thus aeromagnetic exploration is a good reconnaissance tool for minerals.
- Remember that haemitite is antiferromagnetic and produces a negligible magnetic anomaly.
- Asbestos can be located with magnetic exploration, since it often occurs in ultrabasic intrusive rocks that are rich in magnetite. Example from Matheson, Ontario (e.g. Telford page 116).






- Combined gravity and magnetic surveys sometimes help define if a magnetic anomaly is a significant ore deposit. How does this work? (Kearey Figure 7-28).




- Example from Quebec (Kearey Figure 7-25). The ore body is also electrically conductive, and detected by a frequency domain electromagnetic survey. More details of this technique will be described in Geophysics 424.
- Note that the anomaly is well defined by **two** geophysical surveys (electrical and magnetic).

- Examples from the Atlin Geoscience Project, British Columbia. The goal of this project is to develop continued mineral exploration in this historic mining district. Magnetic field data courtesy of Carmel Lowe, Natural Resources Canada, Sidney, B.C.




Peridotite 88.5×10^{-3} SI Metabasalt 0.41×10^{-3} SI




(2) Birch Mountain Pluton

(1) Ultramafic body in Surprise Lake Valley




(3) Mapping plutons and their associated mineralization. Previous geological mapping was revised on the basis of this magnetic survey.

C6.5 Diamond exploration




- Kimberlites are volcanic rocks that originate at depths of 100-200 km in the asthenosphere and move rapidly to the surface. If they originate in or below the **diamond stability field**, they can bring diamonds to the surface.
- Aeromagnetic data are widely used to locate kimberlite pipes.



- Kimberlite usually contains more magnetite than the host rock in the Slave Province and produces a **positive** magnetic anomaly.
- However a **negative** magnetic anomaly occurs if: (a) the host rock is more magnetic (contains more magnetite) or (b) the pipe has a (reversed) remnant magnetization.
- If these effects cancel, the pipe can produce a **weak**, or near zero, anomaly.
- Extra information can be gained from airborne electromagnetic (EM) surveys (more details later in GEOP223). This is essentially a way of measuring shallow electrical resistivity from an aircraft or helicopter.
- Two factors make this a viable exploration technique:
 - (1) Kimberlite has a lower electrical resistivity than the host rock
 - (2) Kimberlites weather and produce clay, with a low resistivity. If the top of the pipe is eroded by the ice sheet, a lake forms, also producing a lower resistivity.


“Because kimberlite pipes exhibit variable anomalies on both electromagnetic and magnetic data, the best approach to mapping them is to simultaneously collect EM and magnetic data from a low flying platform. The DIGHEM system collects both data sets from a sensor at 30m altitude, sampling about every 3m.” <http://www.fugroairborne.com.au>

C6.5.1 Point Lake Kimberlite, NWT



- First kimberlite pipe discovered in NWT by airborne magnetics and EM.
- Note the negative magnetic anomaly on the aeromagnetic map (left).
- There is also a zone of low electrical resistivity above the kimberlite (right). This was detected in the EM data (GEOTEM channel 7, off-time)

C6.5.2 Ekati Mine, Lac de Gras, NWT




- The Ekati Diamond Mine is exploiting several economic kimberlite pipes in the Lac de Gras region of the NWT.
- BHP Billiton mining operations at the Koala Pipe are shown on the right.
- **Magnetic data:** Left panel shows that the Grizzly pipe has a negative magnetic anomaly and Panda has a small positive anomaly. Koala and Fox pipes show weak anomalies.
- **Airborne EM data:** The apparent resistivity map (centre), calculated from the 7200Hz coplanar data of the DIGHEM survey, clearly shows the economic pipes in this data block. The Fox pipe (south west corner) has the most distinct anomaly, and coincides almost exactly to the overlying lake. The Koala and Panda pipes give clear anomalies, and are also underneath lakes.

<http://www.fugroairborne.com.au>

<http://www.mining-technology.com/projects/ekati>

<http://ekati.bhpbilliton.com>

C6.5.3 Fort à la Corne kimberlites, Saskatchewan




- Located beneath 100 m of sedimentary rocks and glacial overburden with no surface expression. Magnetic data are shown on the left and coincident GEOTEM (resistivity) data shown on right.

www.fugroairborne.com

C6.5.4 James Bay Lowlands Kimberlites

From Hogg and Munro (2000)




C6.6 Regional crustal structure

C6.6.1 Alberta Basement:


- The crystalline basement rocks in Alberta date from the Archean and Proterozoic. However, they are covered by the sedimentary rocks of the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin and cannot be studied directly.
- The basement rocks have been mapped through potential field data (magnetic and gravity) and analysis of rocks recovered from the bottom of oil wells (Pilkington *et al*, 2000).
- Generally, zones of higher magnetic susceptibility correspond to magnetic highs in the aeromagnetic anomaly map.
- Even if the origin of the magnetization is not resolved, the character of the aeromagnetic anomalies can be used to determine the extent of a geological province.

- The direction of the anomalies can also reveal the geological strike of these rocks.



C6.6.2 Tibetan Plateau

- Several geophysical techniques have suggested that unusually **high** crustal temperatures exist beneath the Tibetan Plateau.
- How will this alter the magnetic susceptibility of the crustal rocks?
- Good coverage with magnetic data in Tibet is hard to obtain on the ground (no roads) and aeromagnetic data coverage is not widely available.
- In the 1990's a low orbit satellite (MAGSAT) was used to map the Earth's magnetic field. (Why in low orbit?)
- Analysis of these data by Alsdorf and Nelson (1999) reveal a pronounced magnetic low over Tibet. Can this magnetic low be explained on the basis of high crustal temperatures and partial melting?




C6.7 Hydrocarbon exploration with magnetic exploration


- A good summary of the state-of-the-art in oil and gas exploration can be found in Gibson and Millegan, 1998. While oil and gas are not magnetic, useful information can be obtained from magnetic exploration since it can define geological structures that may form source rocks or potential hydrocarbon reservoirs.

C6.7.1 Thickness and extent of sedimentary basins

- Magnetic data can be used to define the depth of crystalline (magnetic) basement. This gives information about the overlying sedimentary rocks (depth, location of faults etc)
- *Example: East China Sea, Okuma et al, in Gibson and Millegan, 1998, p. 59-62*




- *Example:* Bowser Basin in Central BC. Magnetic field data courtesy of Carmel Lowe, Natural Resources Canada.



C6.7.2 Geometry of salt structures

- Salt is **diamagnetic** and thus produces an anomaly of negative sign compared to a paramagnetic rock. This allows the geometry of salt diapirs to be defined from very accurate magnetic field data.
- *Example:* Gulf of Mexico Continental slope, Corine Prieto, in Gibson and Millegan, 1998, pages 14-16



C6.7.3 Direct detection of alteration associated with hydrocarbon seeps

- As oil seeps to the surface from a trap, it can alter the rocks through which it flows. This can change the near-surface magnetic susceptibility. Various mechanisms may change the magnetic properties e.g. Machel and Burton, 1991.

Chemical and microbial processes causing anomalous magnetization in environments affected by hydrocarbon seepage

H. G. Machel* and E. A. Burton‡

ABSTRACT

(Aero-)magnetic anomalies have been reported from several commercial hydrocarbon accumulations. However, the processes responsible for such anomalies are relatively poorly understood. This paper conceptually discusses chemical and microbiological processes involved in generating anomalous magnetization related to hydrocarbon accumulations, including hydrocarbon seepage environments. Based on thermodynamic criteria and microbiologic activity, the formation and destruction of magnetic mineral assemblages can be predicted.

Under the influence of hydrocarbons, magnetite and pyrrhotite are the most important magnetic minerals formed, and the most abundant magnetic mineral destroyed is hematite. Hence, the invasion of hydro-

carbons may result in "positive," "absent," or "negative" magnetic contrasts relative to the total magnetization prior to hydrocarbon invasion, depending upon the amounts of authigenic magnetite and pyrrhotite formed relative to the amounts of hematite destroyed. Magnetism may be generated also by natural and anthropogenic processes that have no relationships to an underlying or adjacent hydrocarbon accumulation. Consequently, anomalous magnetization, even if associated with a hydrocarbon accumulation, may or may not be genetically related to it.


Magnetic mineral assemblages and the resulting magnetic contrasts, such as those predicted in this paper, have been documented from some hydrocarbon seepage environments. Hence, anomalous magnetization can be used for hydrocarbon exploration in association with other surface exploration methods.

- Attempts to locate these altered regions have been made with magnetics, and other airborne geophysical data e.g. Smith and Rowe, 1997


C6.7.4 Structure within sedimentary sequences

- Sedimentary rocks have low magnetic susceptibilities and do not exhibit a strong induced or remnant magnetization.
- However, sedimentary rocks can develop a weak remnant magnetization during deposition. In **detrital remnant magnetization** (DRM), magnetic mineral grains are oriented by the Earth's magnetic field as they are deposited.
- With very sensitive magnetometers (alkali vapour) and accurate navigation in a high resolution aeromagnetic (HRAM) survey, the remnant and induced magnetization can be detected and interpreted.


- Example 1 : Northern Canadian cordillera, shown in Nabighian et al., Geophysics, (2005)



- In this case, the magnetic data can be used to map faults, in between the widely spaced seismic reflection lines.
- *Example 2* : This method can be made even more sensitive by measuring the horizontal and vertical gradients of the magnetic field. See Mushayandebvu and Davies (2006)




- *Example 3* : Weyburn carbon dioxide sequestration project, shown in Nabighian et al., Geophysics, (2005). The presence a sedimentary layer with more magnetite than the background allows faults to be mapped in HRAM data. The seismic data are essential to ground truth the magnetic field data in this case.



C6.8 Detection of unexploded ordnance (UXO)

- Magnetic surveys can be used to look for unexploded ordnance (UXO) and for clearing minefields.
- However magnetic methods are not as effective as electromagnetic (EM) methods in this task for the following reasons:
 - (1) Not all metal objects are ferromagnetic. Copper and aluminum will not be detected. This is illustrated below in a figure from Huang and Won (2003). This shows magnetic and EM surveys over a test site. Circles show ferrous targets and squares show non-ferrous targets.



- (2) Geological noise causes many **false positives** in magnetic surveys for UXO. This is clear in the above figures where there is a lot of background variability in the apparent magnetic susceptibility. In contrast the background apparent conductivity is quite uniform.
- (3) Magnetic field data has very **little sensitivity to the shape** of a buried object. The shape is invaluable in determining the nature of the target (e.g. shell, mine, bomb etc)

C6.9 Weapons inspections

- For a broader view of the role of shallow geophysics in weapons inspections, see the article by Won et al (2004)



References

- Alsdorf, D. and K.D. Nelson, *Geology*, 27, 943-946, 1999.
- Arzate, J.A., L. Flores, R. Chavez, L. Barba and L. Manzanilla, Magnetic prospecting for tunnels and caves in Teotihuacan, Mexico, in *Geotechnical and Environmental Geophysics*, Volume 3, SEG Investigations in Geophysics, No. 5, p. 1-30, 1990.
- Clark, A.J., Archaeological geophysics in Britain, *Geophysics*, 51, 1404-1413, 1986.
- Gibson, R.I., and P.S. Millegan, Geologic applications of Gravity and magnetics: case histories, Society of Exploration Geophysics, 1998.
- Hogg, H., Munro, S., The aeromagnetic discovery of kimberlites and sulphides at depths up to 200m, Expanded Abstract SEG Annual Meeting, Calgary, 2000.
- Huang, H., and I.J. Won, Automated anomaly picking from broadband electromagnetic data in an unexploded ordnance (UXO) survey, *Geophysics*, 68, 1870-1876, 2003.
- Macnae, J. C., Kimberlites and exploration geophysics, *Geophysics*, 44, 1395-1416, 1979.
- Machel, H.G., E. Burton, Chemical and microbial processes causing anomalous magnetization in environments affected by hydrocarbon seepage, *Geophysics*, 56, 598-605, 1991.
- Mushayandebvu, M.F., and J. Davies, Magnetic gradients in sedimentary basins: Examples from the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin, *The Leading Edge*, January, 69-73, 2006.
- Nabighian, M.N., V. J. S. Grauch, R. O. Hansen, T. R. LaFehr, Y. Li, J. W. Peirce, J. D. Phillips, and M. E. Ruder, The historical development of the magnetic method in exploration, *Geophysics*, 70, 33ND-61ND, 2005.
- Pilkington, M, W.F. Miles, G.M. Ross and W.R. Roest, Potential field signature of buried Pre-Cambrian basement in the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin, *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 37, 1453-1471, 2000.
- Roberts, R.L., W.J. Hinze and D.I. Leap, Data enhancement procedures on magnetic data from landfill investigations, in *Geotechnical and environmental geophysics*, Volume 2, Environmental and groundwater, SEG Investigations in Geophysics, No. 5, 261-266, 1990.
- Smith, R., and J. Rowe, A new regional exploration method for detecting hydrocarbon alteration plumes : the ALTREX method, *Exploration Geophysics*, 28, 286-291, 1997.
- Wilson, M. G. C., *Diamonds Through the Decades: A Review of South African Production*, *Geotimes*, July, 14-18, 1997.
- Won, I.J., V. Murphy, P. Hubbard, A. Oren and K. Davis, Geophysics and weapons inspection : To dig or not to dig, That is (at least) one question, *The Leading Edge*, 658-662, July 2004.