



General Faculties Council  
Approved Open Session Minutes

Monday, April 26, 2021  
Zoom Virtual Meeting  
2:00 PM - 4:00 PM

**ATTENDEES:**

**Statutory Members:**

**Ex-Officio:**

Bill Flanagan, Chair  
Chris Andersen  
Dale Askey  
Barbara Billingsley  
Stanford Blade  
Martin Coutts (delegate)  
Greta Cummings  
Neal Davies  
Steven Dew  
Walter Dixon  
Joseph Doucet  
Robert Haennel  
Brenda Hemmelgarn  
Shanthi Johnson  
Matina Kalcounis-Rueppell  
Elan MacDonald  
Maria Mayan  
Brooke Milne  
Pierre-Yves Mocuquais  
Kerry Mummery  
Melissa Padfield  
Steve Patten  
Andrew Sharman  
Demetres Tryphonopoulos  
Jennifer Tupper

**Elected Faculty:**

W. Ted Allison  
J Nelson Amaral  
Nicholas Beier  
Pamela Brett-MacLean  
Robert Burrell  
Heather Coleman  
Piet Defraeye  
Michael Dyck  
Gary Eitzen  
Anastasia Elias  
Mary Forhan  
Michael Frishkopf  
Christine Hughes  
Peter Hurd  
Marianne Jacquet

Nat Kav  
Jessica Kolopenuk  
Hollis Lai  
Pierre Lemelin  
Kathleen Lowrey  
Derek MacKenzie  
Pirkko Markula  
Sean McMurtry  
Al Meldrum  
Sue-Ann Mok  
Roger Moore  
Sarah Moore  
Sujata Persad  
Frances Plane  
Elena Posse de Chaves  
Cheryl Poth  
Christina Rinaldi  
Dan Romanyk  
Keith Rourke  
Victoria Ruetalo  
Carolyn Sale  
Susan Sommerfeldt  
Eleni Stroulia  
Kisha Supernant  
Akiko Watanabe  
Jonathan White  
Lynne Wiltse  
Moin Yahya  
Minn-Nyoung Yoon

**Appointed Members:**

Ricardo Acuna  
Joel Agarwal  
Susan Babcock  
Paramita Chaudhuri Basu  
Chris Beasley  
Dhir Bid  
Karl Buchanan  
Daniela Carbajal  
Meijun Chen

André Costopoulos  
Talia Dixon  
Eric Einarson  
Shannon Erichsen  
Shawn Flynn  
Christian Fotang  
Jill Hall  
Simran Kaur Dhillon  
Zaryab Khan  
Emily Kimani  
David Konrad  
Rahul Korde  
Janice Kung  
Devshri Lala  
Christopher Lupke  
Habba Mahal  
Angie Mandeville  
Abner Monteiro  
Jacob Park  
Liam Pearce  
David Ren  
Sarah Severson  
Catrina Shellenberg  
Lee Smith  
Andrei Tabirca  
Edward Tiet  
Dilini Vethanayagam  
Mansimran Virk  
Marc Waddingham  
Adrian Wattamaniuk  
Donna Wilson  
Ding Xu  
Francine (Yuheng) Zhou

**\*REGRETS:**

Jason Acker  
Adarsh Badesha  
Samar Barazesh  
Amlan Bose  
Marwan Burhani  
Ashley Cardinal  
Yiming Chen  
Megan Ciocchetto  
Nicole de Grano

Jennifer Fang  
Fraser Forbes  
Bill Foster  
Makboolee Fyith  
Simran Ghoman  
Shivani Gupta  
Purna Hariharan  
Basil Hubbard  
Harnoor Kochar  
Sharonne Lee  
Susanne Luhmann  
Lucas Marques  
Adekunle Mofolasayo  
Emily Motoska  
Gaser Nagah  
Ana Oliveira  
Yusuf Oliya  
Nicole Patrie  
Tyler Saretzky  
Khandaker Akib Shahriar  
Aadhavya Sivakumaran  
Shahed Taghian  
Dehaghani  
Frederick Tappenden  
Amy Tse  
Alan Underhill  
Mani Vaidyanathan  
Kristof Van Assche  
Adan Wang  
Adrienne Wright  
Yan Yuan  
Xinxin Zhang

**Staff:**

Brad Hamdon, General  
Counsel and University  
Secretary  
Kate Peters, GFC  
Secretary  
Heather Richholt, Scribe  
University Governance:  
Ann Hodgson  
Edyta McLoughlin  
Laura Riley

*\*Secretary's Note: Due to an extraordinary change in the Academic Schedule, related to the pandemic, the meeting conflicted with the final exam period.*

## **OPENING SESSION**

### 1. Approval of the Agenda

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

*Presenter(s)*: Bill Flanagan, President and Vice-Chancellor, and Chair of General Faculties Council (GFC)

Before calling the meeting to order, the Chair read the following territorial acknowledgement:

The University of Alberta resides on Treaty 6 territory and the homeland of the Métis. This territory is a traditional gathering place for diverse Indigenous peoples whose histories, languages and cultures continue to influence our community. To acknowledge the territory is to recognize the longer history of these lands. The acknowledgement signifies our commitment to working in Good Relations with First Nations, Métis and Inuit peoples as we engage in our institutional work, uplifting the whole people, for the University for Tomorrow.

*Discussion:*

The Chair noted that question period had been moved earlier in the agenda on the advice of the Executive *ad hoc* Governance and Procedural Review Committee.

A motion was made from the floor to extend the meeting. The Chair noted that GFC could also choose to extend the meeting later in the agenda if necessary.

Motion: Sale/Mok

<b>Motion from the floor</b>
------------------------------

THAT the General Faculties Council extend the meeting for an additional hour.
---

<b>DEFEATED</b>
-----------------

Motion: Moore/Dew

THAT the General Faculties Council approve the agenda.
--

<b>CARRIED</b>
----------------

### 2. Report from the President (no documents)

*Presenter(s)*: Bill Flanagan, President and Vice-Chancellor, and Chair of GFC

*Discussion:*

In his comments, the Chair made note of the following:

- the Joint Board/GFC/Senate Summit on March 26, 2021;
- the federal budget and new investments in research, bio manufacturing, skills training, and student support;
- the upcoming announcement of Alberta 2030 review;
- the Times Higher Education (THE) Impact Ranking placed the University of Alberta as number 64 in the list of the 100 most sustainable post-secondary institutions;
- the 2021-22 edition of the Global 2000 List by the Center for World University Rankings placed the University of Alberta as number 81;
- the search for the Vice-President (Research and Innovation); and
- thanks to all student members for whom this was the final meeting of their term.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

Consent Agenda Mover and Second: Mocquais/Sharman

3. A. Approval of the Open Session Minutes of February 22, 2021

Item was deferred.

B. Approval of the Open Session Minutes of March 22, 2021

Item was deferred.

4. New Members of GFC

**TO APPOINT/REAPPOINT:**

The following undergraduate student representatives to serve on GFC for terms commencing May 1, 2021 and ending April 30, 2022:

Nicole de Grano	Faculty of Arts
Chris Beasley	Faculty of Arts
Maddie Dempsey	Faculty of Arts
Julia Viloso	Faculty of Arts
Vaughn Beaulieu-Mercredi	Faculty of Arts
Georgia Koref	Faculty of Arts
Tia Marko	Faculty of Arts
Lisa Glock	Faculty of Arts
Rama Taha	Augustana Faculty
Ghalia Aamer	Faculty of Business
Levi Flaman	Faculty of Business
Yusuf Oliya	Faculty of Business
Emily Motoska	Faculty of Education
Reagan Morris	Faculty of Education
Devin Doherty	Faculty of Education
Phillip Vandevalk	Faculty of Education
Adrian Wattamaniuk	Faculty of Engineering
Chanpreet Singh	Faculty of Engineering
Andrew Batycki	Faculty of Engineering
Tahmid Al Hafiz	Faculty of Engineering
Siddharth Thakur	Faculty of Engineering
Farhana Rahman	Faculty of Engineering
Andy Deprato	Faculty of Kinesiology, Sport & Recreation
Kyle Whitlock	Faculty of Law
Jesse Lafontaine	Faculty of Medicine & Dentistry
Cindy Sun	Faculty of Nursing
Jenny Lam	Faculty of Nursing
Chiara Concini	Faculté Saint-Jean
Mohit Sinha	Faculty of Science
Aadhavya Sivakumaran	Faculty of Science
Nikita Adekar	Faculty of Science
Akanksha Yeola	Faculty of Science
Samar Barazesh	Faculty of Science
Devshri Lala	Faculty of Science
Simran Dhillon	Faculty of Science

Ashmeen Aneja

Faculty of Science

The following undergraduate student members elected by the Students' Union to the Board of Governors and appointed to GFC for a term that is concurrent with terms on the Board (May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022):

Rowan Ley  
David Konrad

President, Students' Union  
Student Appointee (Board of Governors Representative)

The following graduate student representatives at-large to serve on GFC for terms commencing May 1, 2021 and ending April 30, 2022:

Khandaker Akib Shahriar  
Maggie Lin  
Adekunle Mofolasayo  
Sophie Shi  
Ding Xu  
Emily Holden

Chemistry  
Nursing  
Civil and Environmental Engineering  
Chemical and Material Engineering  
Political Science  
Biological Sciences

The following graduate student member elected by the Graduate Students' Association to the Board of Governors and appointed to GFC for a term that is concurrent with term on the Board (May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022):

Anas Fassih

President, Graduate Students' Association

The following appointed NASA representative to the Board of Governors to serve for a three-year term concurrent with the appointment to the Board of Governors:

Andrei Tabirca

NASA Appointee to the Board

**CARRIED**

**TO RECEIVE:**

The following statutory undergraduate student members nominated by the Students' Union to serve on GFC for terms beginning May 1, 2021 and ending April 30, 2022:

Abner Montiero  
Talia Dixon

Students' Union Nominee  
Students' Union Nominee

The following statutory graduate student member nominated by the Graduate Students' Association to serve on GFC for a term beginning May 1, 2021 and ending April 30, 2022:

Kathy Haddadkar

Graduate Students' Association Nominee

The following ex officio members to serve on GFC for a term beginning July 1, 2021 and extending for the duration of the appointment:

Kyle Murray	Acting Dean of the Faculty of Business
Diane Kunyk	Acting Dean of the Faculty of Nursing
Frederick West	Acting Dean of the Faculty of Science
Tammy Hopper	Interim Dean of the Faculty of Rehabilitation Medicine
Nick Holt	Interim Dean of the Faculty of Kinesiology, Sport, and Recreation
Simaan AbouRizk	Interim Dean of the Faculty of Engineering

**CARRIED**

### **ACTION ITEMS**

5. Ethics and Academic Citizenship Requirement for Graduate Students (formerly titled the Academic Integrity and Ethics Training)

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

*Presenter(s):* Brooke Milne; Ali Shiri; Deanna Davis

*Purpose of the Proposal:* The proposal is before the committee because FGSR seeks to standardize academic integrity and ethics education by taking responsibility for the foundational training that all graduate students need.

*Discussion:*

Dr Milne thanked Dr Shiri and Dr Davis for their work to develop this training. Dr Shiri provided a high-level overview of the standard online format and noted that the aim of the training was to provide early and equitable access to support and foundational information necessary to the graduate student experience.

During the discussion, members asked questions and expressed opinions including but not limited to:

- clarification of the process to address instances when students were unable to complete the training in their first semester;
- the French version for Faculté Saint-Jean students;
- the time required to complete the training;
- that this was not a new requirement but was a new and consistent way to deliver the existing ethics requirement to all graduate students;
- that the training was fully online and accessible to students as soon as they were admitted to their program;
- the potential to develop a similar program for undergraduate students; and
- concern about the component entitled "ethics of self-care".

A member proposed an amendment to the *University Calendar* language in the proposal regarding academic integrity and the intention that the Requirement ensure that students are equipped to conduct themselves in ways that uphold the values of the University of Alberta.

During the debate on the proposed amendment, members discussed the following points:

- that the institution's values should be upheld and inform everything related to graduate studies;
- whether expecting students to uphold certain values was "crossing the line" and whether it would be better to focus on meeting obligations as required by policy;

- to what extent recommendations from FGSR Council and GFC standing committees should inform the approval of GFC;
- whether the requirement to uphold values such as equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI) would prevent graduate students from research that was critical of EDI policy;
- that policies were informed by values and values were not static but subject to change;
- that members of the university community should agree to uphold its values;
- that the language in the proposal spoke about equipping students to conduct themselves in ways that uphold the vision, mission, and values of the university, not about forcing them to; and
- that understanding the inclusive nature of the values of the university could make outsiders feel more welcome and safe especially those from underrepresented groups.

Motion: Sale/Coleman

**Proposed amendment:**

The Ethics and Academic Citizenship Requirement ensures that all graduate students have equal access to information about academic integrity, understand what it means to act with academic integrity, and are equipped to meet their obligations under University policies.

**DEFEATED**

Motion: Ruetalo/Doucet

THAT the General Faculties Council approve the revision of the Ethics and Academic Citizenship Requirement (formerly titled the Academic Integrity and Ethics Training Requirement) as set forth in Attachments 1-4, for immediate implementation, and inclusion in the 2022-2023 Calendar.

**CARRIED**

**DISCUSSION ITEMS**

6. Question Period
  - 6.1 Facilities Development Committee
  - 6.2 Nominating Committee
  - 6.3 Library Databases
  - 6.4 Future Library Cuts
  - 6.5 Telus 5G Living Lab
  - 6.6 FGSR Supervisory Initiatives
  - 6.7 University Teaching Awards Committee and Remote Teaching Awards

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

*Presenter(s):* Bill Flanagan, President and Vice-Chancellor, and Chair of GFC

*Discussion:*

The Chair asked if there were any supplementary questions to the written answers provided.

Regarding question 6.3 about library databases, Dr Sale disagreed that there were interdisciplinary concerns and asked why there had not been a robust consultation process regarding the cuts or anything done to assess the impact of the cuts of specific Faculty resources on those Faculties. Dr Askey, Vice-Provost (Library & Museums) and Chief Librarian replied that it was quite rare for a resource to be used by only one discipline and that it was standard practice for librarians, who were academics and professionals, to review budget, obligations, and usage, and to make data-driven decisions regarding collections and databases with consultation as needed.

Regarding question 6.1 about the GFC Facilities Development Committee (FDC), Dr Lowrey noted that she still did not understand why contentious issues like the demolition of the Ring Houses were not discussed by the committee and why FDC's opinion did not matter.

Regarding question 6.2 about the GFC Nominating Committee (NC), Dr Lowrey asked why it was decided that her nomination would not improve the composition of the committee and whether it was because she was a vocal critic of EDI policies. Dr White, Chair of NC noted the mandate of NC to ensure diversity and pointed out that the proceedings of NC were confidential. He also explained that NC makes a recommendation to GFC, who ultimately have the authority to make the decision on membership.

Dr Lowrey noted that she has submitted a third question and was told that it was outside the authority of GFC but that members could read about it on the Association of Academic Staff of the University of Alberta (AASUA)'s GFC forum.

Regarding question 6.6 about FGSR's Supervisory Initiatives, Dr Mok thanked Dr Milne for her reply and noted that she was concerned about the potential negative impact on students when reports were not completed. Dr Milne, Vice-Provost and Dean of FGSR replied that restrictions on registration would be an absolute last resort and would follow multiple attempts to reach out and solve the issues and make sure that the conversations that needed to happen were happening.

Regarding question 6.5 about the Telus 5G Living Lab, Dr Sale wondered which of the university's policies and procedures were being referred to and how they would align with the collective agreement. She noted that she would submit follow up questions.

Members noted concern for students who were struggling with the costs of their education and asked questions about the proposals for exceptional tuition increases including:

- Why the Students' Union and Graduate Students' Association were only made aware of these proposals a few weeks ago;
- What were the plans for moving forward and for proper student consultation;
- When the development of the proposals had begun; and
- Whether students who were required to pay the higher fees, if approved, would also be able to benefit from the improvements the increased fees were meant to pay for.

Dr Dew, Provost and Vice-President (Academic) explained that advanced consultation on these proposals was happening at present and the normal consultation process would take place in the fall once the Ministry of Advanced Education had made its decisions. Dr Dew also noted that the development of proposals began in February when the Ministry indicated that it would consider them. As to the improvements that the increased fees were meant to finance, Dr Dew acknowledged that it may not be possible to implement all of the intended improvements to align with the start date of students paying the higher fees, but that the programs seeking exceptional tuition increases were eager to provide competitive programming and resources to their students.

A member asked about the university's plans related to Pride activities in the month of June. The Chair noted that Pride Week was celebrated on campus in mid-March because of the academic schedule and that there had been several virtual activities that year. Dr Rodgers, Deputy Provost, and Ms MacDonald, Vice-President External Relations noted that June was also Indigenous month and there would be activities related to both on the university's calendar of events.

## 7. Proposal for the Establishment of the GFC Committee on the Documentation of Indigeneity (CDI)

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

*Presenter(s)*: Florence Glanfield, Vice-Provost (Indigenous Programming & Research); Melissa Padfield, Vice-Provost and University Registrar; Chris Andersen, Dean, Faculty of Native Studies

*Purpose of the Proposal:* This proposal recommends the establishment of the Committee on the Documentation of Indigeneity (CDI) as a standing committee with delegated authority from General Faculties Council to determine the standards and approve policy respecting the documentation of Indigeneity. Documentation of Indigeneity is required to determine eligibility for admissions, awards and financial support that are specifically reserved for Indigenous students and applicants.

*Discussion:*

Dr Glanfield explained that the proposed committee would consider matters relating to Indigenous people in the Canadian context and that the voting members of the committee were all Indigenous.

Dr Andersen observed that the trend was moving away from the question of ancestry and toward connection to community. He noted that this was a North American issue and was about ensuring that funding and space were given to those they were intended for.

Ms Padfield noted that there were no implications to self-identification through the application process but the committee's work would be used for establishing policy on documentation required to access funding or seats specifically designated for Indigenous students.

Members expressed support of the proposal and discussed the potential to use a similar process for employment and to identify those from Northern Alberta for admission into health care programs.

8. Delegated Authority of the Undergraduate Awards and Bursaries Committee (UABC)

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

*Presenter(s):* Brad Hamdon, Kate Peters, Secretary to General Faculties Council (GFC)

*Purpose of the Proposal:* The proposal is before General Faculties Council because University Governance has reviewed the legislative authority of the Undergraduate Awards and Bursaries Committee (UABC). The analysis by University Governance found that the responsibilities and tasks identified in the Terms of Reference for the UABC exceed the authority of the General Faculties Council (GFC) over "rules and regulations respecting academic awards". They recommend that this authority be moved to a different standing committee and that UABC be disbanded as of July 1, 2021.

*Discussion:*

Mr Hamdon and Ms Peters explained that the *ad hoc* Committee on Academic Governance Including Delegated Authority's 2017 report identified UABC as a task-oriented committee and indicated that it should play a more strategic and comprehensive mandate and role in the awards process to ensure alignment with institutional goals. They noted that university Governance's review of the legislative authority also found that UABC was operating beyond the authority set forth in the *Post-Secondary Learning Act* (PSLA) which was to "make rules and regulations respecting academic awards". They indicated that if the task based work of approving individual award terms was moved to an administrative approval process, a full standing committee was not necessary. They further noted that the mandate of the GFC Academic Planning Committee (APC) was well aligned to the higher-level policy work related to academic awards.

A member asked if there would be a role for students to play in an administrative approval process if GFC were to decide to change the committee structure. Ms Peters replied that reports on approved awards and discussions of policy concerns would still be within the purview of General Faculties Council, and that students would continue to have an important role as members of GFC and its standing committees.

A member raised a point of privilege and asked for a break in the meeting after considering a motion from the floor to extend the meeting.

Motion: Sale/Lupke



**Motion from the floor:**

THAT General Faculties Council extend the meeting for an additional 30 minutes.

**CARRIED**

9. Executive ad hoc Governance Procedural Review Committee

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

*Presenter(s):* Brad Hamdon, General Counsel and University Secretary, and co-Chair of the Executive ad hoc Governance Review Committee; Moin Yahya, GFC Elected Faculty Member, and co-Chair of the Executive ad hoc Governance Review Committee

*Purpose of the Proposal:* The proposal is before General Faculties Council to consult on the work of the Executive ad hoc Governance and Procedural Review Committee on the Meeting Procedural Rules, Roles and Responsibilities of Members, and Question Period Procedure. The Executive ad hoc committee's work is being done as a part of GFC's commitment to regular review of its processes.

*Discussion:*

In his introduction, the Chair made it clear that the Executive ad hoc committee had no authority and that GFC would decide on any recommended changes that came forward.

Mr Hamdon spoke about the work of the committee to date and made note of the google form sent to GFC members so that they could provide feedback. He explained that the original plan was to bring changes for approval in June but that the recommendations could come in the fall if they were not ready.

Dr Yahya encouraged members to provide feedback and indicated that the committee would not be doing the work related to the recommendations of the Committee of the Whole but would only be providing advice.

Members asked questions and expressed comments including but not limited to:

- a question about how the members of the committee were chosen and a suggestion to add a GFC elected faculty member to the committee who would provide "consistent push back";
- that the committee included a diverse group of voices and had not made any recommendations but was asking for feedback on a first draft;
- that it was more important to do this right than to do it quickly and a suggestion that more faculty members could be added to the committee;
- whether there would be something added to the Meeting Procedural Rules regarding online meetings and improved access to participation from an EDI perspective;
- the importance of broad and meaningful consultation;
- an impression that the changes circulated had already been decided on and a complaint about the mechanism for requesting feedback from GFC;
- an observation that this was important work and a smaller group was easier to manage, especially in a time of scarce resources; and
- that it was puzzling that some members of GFC implied that this process was being "stage managed".

11. Recommendations of the Committee of the Whole

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

*Presenter(s):* Bill Flanagan, President and Vice-Chancellor, and Chair of GFC

*Purpose of the Proposal:* The purpose of this proposal is to continue to update GFC on the actions taken as a result of the recommendations of the report of the committee of the whole on February 8, 2021, and to support decision making as a result of the report.

*Discussion:*

The Chair provided a brief update on the actions coming out of the recommendations made by the GFC committee of the whole.

12. Replenishment of GFC, Standing Committees, and other bodies (no documents)

*Presenter(s):* Jonathan White, Chair of GFC Nominating Committee (NC)

*Discussion:*

Dr White spoke about the annual replenishment of GFC and the importance of robust and active membership in light of the important work that happens at GFC standing committees.

10. Development of a GFC Position on Metrics Associated with Academic Restructuring

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

*Presenter(s):* Steven Dew, Provost and Vice-President (Academic)

*Purpose of the Proposal:* The proposal is before the committee in response to a recommendation included in the report generated from the committee of the whole discussion at the GFC meeting on February 8, 2021.

*Discussion:*

The Chair acknowledged that the item on Metrics had unintentionally been skipped and suggested a 10-minute extension of the meeting in order to have a quick discussion.

Dr Dew provided a brief overview of the draft document and encouraged members to share feedback in the meeting and offline.

Members discussed:

- that academics needed to be the ones doing the assessment of interdisciplinarity and that GFC should approve a statement to that effect;
- the intention of the metrics and the content of the discussions that had happened at the Academic Planning Committee (APC);
- the targets and measurements related to the financial metric and the quality of shared services measure;
- an opinion that the feedback on shared services would be negative and there was no benchmark for success;
- that service quality and issues were dependent on the participation of many; and
- how EDI would be considered across all the metrics and whether it would account for inequitable layoffs due to restructuring.

Dr Dew acknowledged that assessing interdisciplinarity and shared services would be challenging. He further noted that there were separate metrics being collected on EDI in response to the EDI Strategic Plan and that the metrics under discussion in this item were specifically related to the Board of Governor's decision in December 2020.

**INFORMATION REPORTS**

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

13. Report of the GFC Executive Committee

14. Report of the GFC Academic Planning Committee

15. Report of the GFC Programs Committee
16. GFC Nominations and Elections
  - A. GFC Recent Elections
  - B. Current Membership Vacancies
17. Information Items
  - A. Helping Individuals at Risk (HIAR) Annual Report 2019-2020
  - B. Office of Safe Disclosure and Human Rights (OSDHR) Annual Report 2019-2020
  - C. Centres and Institutes Committee (CIC) Annual Report 2020
  - D. University of Alberta for Tomorrow Update
  - E. COVID-19 Governance Emergency Protocols Decision Tracker
18. Information Forwarded to GFC Members Between Meetings:
  - Email Interim Deans-Email 2021-2022 Calendar for GFC and GFC Standing Committees

### **CLOSING SESSION**

19. Adjournment
  - Next Meeting of General Faculties Council: June 7, 2021

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 4:40 p.m.