Faculty of Nursing Doctoral Candidacy Examination Guidelines
[Final Version]

Background Explanatory Note
This document was developed to reflect expectations for the doctoral candidacy examination and to provide guidance to supervisors and students during and following the transition period to deletion of the WCE requirement. The document was originated by the Doctoral Core Curriculum Working Group, has been reviewed by GEC, circulated and reviewed at faculty town hall discussions and caucus meetings from November 2021-January 2022.

The document is aligned with the FGSR examination guidelines in the 2021-2022 calendar. There are currently proposed FGSR changes to doctoral examinations under discussion and review, likely to be approved in fall 2022. This document may need to be revised during 2022-2023 to align with resulting FGSR changes related to graduate examinations.

The document also provides new statements for appraisal/evaluation of the outcome of the doctoral candidacy exam (page 5).

Introduction
The doctoral oral candidacy examination is an opportunity for the student to demonstrate the synthesis of their studies as they have integrated course work, explored a range of research and academic experiences, and engaged in scholarly mentorship by faculty and peers during the initial years of their program. The exam consists of a written proposal and oral examination. It follows successful completion of all required coursework and marks an important milestone in the student’s preparation to formally begin the research project. The exam is also an opportunity for the student to formally share their dissertation project with the supervisory committee and external examiners, and to learn from the experts who gather to serve as examiners in this process. This process provides a final opportunity to refine the research proposal before progressing to implementation of the research project.

We are committed to building capacity with nursing scholars who will advance knowledge and skills to improve health outcomes and address health inequity in diverse global contexts. It is recognized that rigorous nursing scholarship and research take diverse forms. Doctoral research is a creative endeavour, and varied modes of scholarly expression are encouraged. Evaluation of progress to doctoral candidacy will acknowledge a range of modes of scholarly expression in an inclusive manner.
The University of Alberta Calendar states that “All program requirements, other than the thesis, must be completed within three years of the commencement of a student’s program”. The candidacy examination is normally scheduled as soon as possible following completion of coursework. The Faculty of Nursing expectations are aligned with University of Alberta Calendar requirements for the conduct of thesis and candidacy examinations, which state that at a minimum, “students must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the examining committee that they possess:

1. An adequate knowledge of the discipline and of the subject matter relevant to the thesis;
2. The ability to pursue and complete original research at an advanced level;
3. The ability to meet any other requirements found in the department’s published policy on candidacy examinations.”

I. PURPOSE OF THE ORAL CANDIDACY EXAM

The oral candidacy examination provides students with the opportunity to demonstrate knowledge about the discipline, research methods and their substantive research area; respond to questions about their research proposal; and demonstrate the ability to pursue and complete original research at an advanced level.

The candidacy examination in the Faculty of Nursing assesses formative doctoral program outcomes in three key areas:

1. Specialized knowledge of the substantive area of inquiry as addressed in the research proposal and informed by an appropriate scope of relevant literature;
2. Critical understanding of historical, philosophical, theoretical, and scientific foundations of nursing knowledge; and
3. Rigorous conceptualization of research methods and design appropriate to the research question.

The exam should ensure that the student has selected an appropriate research question for the dissertation and that a sound research plan has been developed to complete the project. It is anticipated that the proposed project will enable the student to make an original, substantive contribution to nursing knowledge.

II. PREPARATION FOR ORAL CANDIDACY EXAMINATION

All of the activities leading up to the oral candidacy examination (i.e. coursework, dissertation seminar, community of inquiry learning opportunities, research and teaching assistantships, and...
colloquia) are an investment in the development of the research proposal and competencies to prepare for implementation of the dissertation project.

Doctoral students work closely with the graduate supervisor and all members of the supervisory committee in the development of the research proposal in the months leading up to the oral candidacy examination. With guidance from the supervisory committee, the student may develop a reading list of seminal literature related to the dissertation topic to provide a foundation for scholarly works that should inform the candidacy exam discussion, but the reading list is not a requirement in candidacy preparation.

In addition to describing the research project, the proposal must address the substantive theory and scholarship related to the research question and the arena of scholarship within which the research question is situated. The conceptual framing of the research project can be depicted in many different ways as appropriate to the research question and method. Flexibility is encouraged as the relationship between theory and the potential contribution of the research project to knowledge in the discipline of nursing and beyond is addressed within the proposal. It is anticipated that questioning in the oral candidacy examination may encompass theoretical, philosophical, and methodological considerations as they are relevant to the proposed research project.

The research proposal must include an abstract and a detailed research plan, including appendices as appropriate. The presentation and length of the proposal will vary depending on the project and the form of the thesis (i.e. traditional thesis or paper-based thesis). The proposal will normally include:

a) Review of relevant literature;

b) Theoretical and conceptual framing as appropriate to the research method;

c) Relevance and anticipated contribution to nursing and health care knowledge;

d) Research question(s);

e) Rationale and significance of the question;

f) Proposed research methods;

g) Ethical considerations of proposed research;

h) References and appendices.

The supervisor will provide general help with proposal writing skills and research strategies. Students are encouraged to discuss their proposal with other committee members, experts in the area, or other students. Students can access resources from the University of Alberta Academic Success Centre to develop academic writing skills, and the Centre for Writers for workshops, guided writing instruction groups, and tutoring for editorial support. It is expected that the research proposal is written by the student without substantial assistance from others.
The research proposal will be provisionally approved by the supervisory committee members prior to the scheduling of the date for the oral candidacy examination. It is important that the supervisory committee is in agreement that the student has the necessary knowledge, skills and resources to conduct the project. It is anticipated that final revisions to the research proposal may be made following the candidacy examination with benefit of feedback from the deliberations of the examining committee.

It is the responsibility of the supervisor(s) to ensure that proper arrangements are made for the student’s examination, and that the exam is held in accordance with FGSR and Faculty of Nursing regulations. The supervisor informs committee members of meetings and details of the examination.

It is the responsibility of the student to provide the final draft of the research proposal to the supervisor at least 4 weeks in advance of the scheduled date of the oral candidacy examination. The supervisor is responsible to circulate the research proposal to all examining committee members and to ensure that all examiners have received the proposal in a timely manner.

The role and structure of examining committees is outlined in the University of Alberta Calendar:

1. Including the supervisor(s) and supervisory committee members, the minimum size of a doctoral candidacy committee is five examiners; maximum size is seven examiners.
2. There must be two arm’s length examiners [i.e., not connected to the thesis research in a significant way; not associated with the student outside of usual contact in courses or other non-thesis activities; not related to the student or supervisor; not an active collaborator of the supervisor(s)].
3. At least half or more of the examiners must hold a doctoral degree or higher.
4. At least half of the examiners must hold tenured/tenure-track appointment with the University of Alberta; be active in the general subject area of the student’s research; and demonstrate continuing scholarly or creative activity of an original nature.
5. The chair is not an examiner but is a faculty member who is normally identified by the Graduate Office from a rotating schedule of members of the Graduate Exam Chairs Committee.

III. EXAMINATION PROCESS

Guidelines for the Conduct of Thesis and Candidacy Examinations are outlined in the University of Alberta Calendar.
1. Candidacy examinations are normally scheduled for a maximum of 3 hours including the student presentation (up to 15 minutes), approximately 2 hours of direct examination, and the remaining time for committee introductions and post-exam deliberation.

2. The student presentation will be public, and open to students, faculty members and invited guests. Questions and discussion are reserved for the subsequent meeting with the examining committee, which immediately follows the presentation.

3. The examining committee chair is a non-voting member whose role is to moderate the exam and ensure a fair process that conforms to FGSR policy and Faculty of Nursing procedures. The chair will introduce the student for the public presentation.

4. At the start of the formal examination, the chair should review the procedures for the examination and provide opportunity for the student and examiners to seek clarification if needed.

5. Rounds of questions (normally two to three) are normally offered beginning with the examiner(s) most external to the committee, the supervisory committee members, and then the supervisor.

6. Questioning during the oral exam will focus on the research proposal, but examiners will also ask questions that are more comprehensive in nature. These questions could focus on disciplinary knowledge; theoretical approaches and contributions of the proposed research; the substantive area; and research methods knowledge in general and specifically related to the dissertation topic.

7. When the questions have concluded, the chair should ask the student if they have any final comments they would like to add.

8. The student is asked to leave the room while the examining committee deliberates on the outcome of the examination.

9. The examiners are asked to give their opinions on the quality of the written work and the oral responses to questions in the same order as questioning occurred.

10. Evaluation criteria to be considered by the committee are outlined in section IV below.

11. The exam may result in one of the following outcomes: Adjourned; Pass; Conditional Pass; Fail and repeat the candidacy; Fail with a recommendation to terminate the doctoral program or change of category to a master’s program. If the examining committee fails to reach a decision in a reasonable time, the matter will be referred to the Dean of FGSR.

Following successful completion of the examination, the student can proceed with the applications for ethics and administrative approvals, and undertake the proposed research.

IV. EVALUATION OF THE CANDIDACY EXAMINATION
As the candidacy exam is integrative, the examination is passed or failed in its entirety. The evaluation of the candidacy examination will be based on demonstration of oral and written competence related to the following areas:

1. Relationships among the subject matter of the proposed research and development of knowledge relevant to the practice discipline of nursing, healthcare in general, and other disciplines;
2. Ability to question and challenge colonial practices and thought in knowledge production, theory and practice as appropriate to the topic under study;
3. Strong analytical, problem-solving and critical thinking abilities;
4. Knowledge and skills specific to the proposed research methodology;
5. A range of potential research approaches relevant to the proposed research focus;
6. Potential to pursue and complete original research at an advanced level;
7. Ability to respond to questions about the feasibility of the proposed dissertation project;
8. Ability to openly engage in scholarly discussion and debate.
## Important Candidacy Examination Timelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>At least 4 weeks prior to exam…</th>
<th>Supervisor forwards the <a href="#">Notice of Doctoral Candidacy Examining Committee</a> form to the GSO for review and approval.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The form must be received by the FGSR at least 3 weeks prior to the exam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The GSO will ensure all members of the committee are provided with a copy of the approved form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediately following exam…</td>
<td>The Chair or Supervisor provides the GSO with a <a href="#">Report of Completion of Candidacy Examination</a> form that lists the decision of the committee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please refer to the <a href="#">Decision of the Candidacy Committee</a> section of the Graduate Manual for decision options.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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