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# Issues and Efforts to Address 

- Limited criterion validity and limited examples of use to guide implementation activities.
- Concern over high correlation between context and facilitation scales.
- Considered too long by some operations users.
- "basic human tendency to make specific inferences on the basis of a general impression" (Rozenzweig 2007:7)
- Organizational constructs such as leadership, culture, climate, readiness to change and teamness may be particularly susceptible:
- Inferred, not directly observed
- Are relative
- Have strong, popular normative connotations (e.g., there is generally no such thing as positive "weak leadership")


# Kayla Braverman's cereal experiment 
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## Blind Test



- Name Brand
- Store Brand


## Taste inferred from brand



## What are we doing?

- Criterion validation using prospective, independent measures of implementation
- Criterion validation of theoretically congruent intermediary outcomes
- Does evidence scale correlate with perceptions of validity of the EBP change?
- Does resource scale correlate with implementation resources?
- Discriminant validation to test if ORCA scales are distinct from measure of job satisfaction
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